

Subcommittee of Advanced Training in Consultation-Liaison Psychiatry

Consultation-Liaison Psychiatry Scholarly Project

Assessment framework

		Marker ID:	
Title of Scholarly Project:			
Date of submission:		Submission number:	
De-identified local research ethics approval attached?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No, not required	
Submission of the Fellowship Scholarly Project to meet the Consultation-Liaison Scholarly Project NB – only passed Fellowship Scholarly Projects can be submitted for marking	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No, original project	

All domains are to be articulated at the standard expected at the end of Stage 3.

The following framework is intended to guide your overall assessment and ensure consistency across markers. There is no requirement for a trainee to achieve a ‘yes’ in each domain to pass the Consultation-Liaison Psychiatry Scholarly Project. Markers should mark each domain to help facilitate their overall assessment recommendation and to provide feedback to trainees.

1 Clinical relevance	Yes	No	N/A
1.1 The work is relevant to Consultation-Liaison Psychiatry.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

2 Presentation and content	Yes	No	N/A
2.1 The general layout is clear and professional.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2.2 The content conforms to the guidelines for the type of scholarly project submitted.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2.3 Professional English is used with appropriate spelling and grammar.*	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2.4 Length conforms to the guidelines*.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2.5 Evidence of local research ethics approval is provided where relevant.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

3 Objectives and/or hypotheses	Yes	No	N/A
3.1 There is a clear statement of the objectives of the scholarly project relevant to Consultation-Liaison Psychiatry.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3.2 Where hypotheses are appropriate to the methodology used, these are well formulated, clearly stated and testable.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

* Do not need to be completed if the Fellowship Scholarly Project has been submitted to meet the requirements of the Consultation-Liaison project.

4 Literature review	Yes	No	N/A
4.1 Is sufficiently comprehensive.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.2 Is sufficiently contemporary.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.3 Is sufficiently critical.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

5 References	Yes	No	N/A
5.1 References are cited and presented in an accepted reference style, eg. Vancouver system.*	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5.2 All references cited in the text are listed.*	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5.3 All references listed were cited*.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

6 Methodology	Yes	No	N/A
6.1 There is a satisfactory account of, and justification for, the methodology proposed.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.2 There is a clear and satisfactory account of the type of analysis proposed (if relevant) and justification of the tools.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

7 Results	Yes	No	N/A
7.1 Relevant results were presented appropriately.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

8 Discussion	Yes	No	N/A
8.1 Includes a satisfactory critical review of the methodology and analysis used, including a statement about the limitations of the project.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8.2 Includes a statement about how the project contributes to the field.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

9 Conclusion	Yes	No	N/A
9.1 The conclusions drawn from the project were logical.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Subcommittee of Advanced Training in Consultation-Liaison Psychiatry

Consultation-Liaison Psychiatry Scholarly Project

Assessment recommendation

		Marker ID:	
Title of Scholarly Project:			
Date of submission:		Submission number:	

Please indicate by ticking or crossing the appropriate box.

I recommend that the Scholarly Project:

		Yes	No
1	be passed.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2	be failed as it does not satisfy the requirement of the Consultation-Liaison scholarly project.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Signature.....

Date

Consultation-Liaison Psychiatry Scholarly Project Feedback

To be completed if the project has passed or failed.

		Marker ID	
Title of Scholarly Project:			
Date of submission:		Submission number:	
		Pass	Fail
Project mark awarded:		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Markers please note, the following domains have been provided to guide your feedback.

<p>1. Clinical relevance</p>
<p>2. Presentation and content</p>
<p>3. Objectives and/or hypotheses</p>
<p>4. Literature review</p>

This feedback is provided for educational purposes only and is not a basis for appeal. All submitted Consultation-Liaison Scholarly Projects have been marked according to the domains detailed in the Assessment Framework. The marker has provided feedback to highlight areas of the project requiring revision; however, this is not intended to be a step-by-step guide to rectify the project and other areas may need your consideration. You may amend the project in light of these comments or submit a completely new project. On resubmission, a project will be marked as a whole. In some instances, markers may advise trainees that the failed project is unsuitable for resubmission.

5. References

6. Methodology

7. Results

8. Discussion

9. Conclusion

Overall comments

This feedback is provided for educational purposes only and is not a basis for appeal. All submitted Consultation-Liaison Scholarly Projects have been marked according to the domains detailed in the Assessment Framework. The marker has provided feedback to highlight areas of the project requiring revision; however, this is not intended to be a step-by-step guide to rectify the project and other areas may need your consideration. You may amend the project in light of these comments or submit a completely new project. On resubmission, a project will be marked as a whole. In some instances, markers may advise trainees that the failed project is unsuitable for resubmission.